

REMARKS

Applicant recognizes with appreciation that Claims 1, 11, 12, 15, 33 – 40, 42 – 47, 50 and 51 have been allowed.

In this Amendment, Applicant has cancelled Claim 52 and amended Claims 2 – 8, 10, 13, 14, 16 – 20, 22, 24, 27, 29, 30, 41, 49 to specify different embodiments of the present invention and overcome the rejection. It is respectfully submitted that no new matter has been introduced by the amended claims. All claims are now present for examination and favorable reconsideration is respectfully requested in view of the preceding amendments and the following comments.

CLAIM OBJECTIONS:

Claims 10 and 27 have been objected because of informality.

It is respectfully submitted that Claim 10 and 27 have been amended to add “and” and delete “of”.

Therefore, the objection has been overcome. Accordingly, withdrawal of the objections is respectfully requested.

DOUBLE PATENTING:

Claims 6, 7, 8, 9, 16, 17, and 19 have been objected being duplicate of Claims 1, 11 and 14, respectively.

It is respectfully submitted that Claim 6, 7, 8 and 16, 17 and 19 have been amended to clearly specify different embodiments of the invention without being duplicative of various intervening claims.

Therefore, the objection has been overcome. Accordingly, withdrawal of the objections is respectfully requested.

REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 112 SECOND PARAGRAPH:

Claims 2 – 5, 13 – 14, 18, 20 – 32, 41, 48 – 49 and 52 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as allegedly being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is respectfully submitted that the currently presented amendments clearly point out and define the embodiment of the present invention. More specifically, Claim 52 has been cancelled, Claims 2 – 5, 13—14, 18, 20, 22, 24, 27, 29, 30, 41, and 49 have been amended to correct the rejected terms and particularly point out and distinctly claim the various embodiments of the present invention. It is respectfully submitted that the amended claims are clear to a person of ordinary skill in the relevant art.

Therefore, the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, has been overcome. Accordingly, withdrawal of the rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, is respectfully requested.

Having overcome all outstanding grounds of rejection, the application is now in condition for allowance, and prompt action toward that end is respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

JACOBSON HOLMAN PLLC

Date: January 22, 2009
(202) 638-6666
400 Seventh Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004
Atty. Dkt. No.: P70329US0

By John C. Holman
John C. Holman
Registration No. 22,769